

Serial No. 10/533,628

Attorney Docket No: OKUDP0114US

PATENT

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING, FACSIMILE OR ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION	
I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is _____ being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage for first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents address below.	
<u>X</u> being transmitted via EFS at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office to the Attention of Examiner Aneeta Yodichkas .	
<u>/Mark D. Saralino/</u> Mark D. Saralino	<u>February 3, 2010</u> Date

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Akihiro ARAI

Express Mail No: 10/533,628

Filing Date: October 20, 2005

For: OPTICAL HEAD AND OPTICAL DEVICE PROVIDED WITH
OPTICAL HEAD (As Amended)

Examiner: Aneeta Yodichkas

Art Unit: 2627

**Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450**

CLARIFICATION OF IDS SUBMITTED ON JANUARY 11, 2010

Sir:

On January 11, 2010, applicant filed an Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) citing references recently identified in a corresponding foreign application. Unbeknownst to the applicant at that time, a Notice of Allowance was issued -- the same day.

Under the conditions in which the IDS was filed, the applicant stated that the IDS was being filed "before the mailing date of either a final action or a Notice of Allowance".

The applicant now believes the statement may have been technically inaccurate since the IDS was filed and Notice of Allowance was issued on the same day. Applicant wishes to make clear on the record the circumstances under which the IDS was filed.

Applicant hereby wishes to clarify that the IDS was filed on the same day as the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance, but before payment of the issue fee. The required certification still applies. As it is uncertain whether applicant is required to pay a fee for submission of the IDS, applicant is simply including payment herewith.

The references cited in the IDS have already been considered as noted in the Official Communication mailed on January 19, 2010. Thus, applicant believes no further action is required.

Should the Examiner feel that a telephone interview would be helpful to facilitate favorable prosecution of the above-identified application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number provided below.

Should a petition for an extension of time be necessary for the timely reply to the outstanding Office Action (or if such a petition has been made and an additional extension is necessary), petition is hereby made and the Commissioner is authorized to charge any fees to Deposit Account No. 18-0988.

Respectfully submitted,

RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP

/Mark D. Saralino/

Mark D. Saralino
Reg. No. 34,243

DATE: February 3, 2010

The Keith Building
1621 Euclid Avenue
Nineteenth Floor
Cleveland, Ohio 44115
(216) 621-1113